AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the
	District of Puerto Rico
United States of America v.  JOHN MANUEL ABREU  Defendant	) ) Case No. 25-124 (M) )
ORDER OF D	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part	I - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
	ey pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or art's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact § 2142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the begging
	§ 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.  t and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
presumption that no condition or combination and the community because the following composition of the composition of the composition of the community because the following community because the fo	e of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): ion of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. naximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or eximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act upter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or as been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs in, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the posse (iii) any other dangerous weapout (2) the defendant has previously been	ession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); on; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> in convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. fense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	h (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment  Lack of stable residence
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse  Lack of stable employment

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention Pending Tria	ıl
☐ Signifi	cant family or other ties	outside the United States
🔀 Lack o	f legal status in the Unit	ed States
Subjec     Su	t to removal or deportati	on after serving any period of incarceration
Prior fa	ailure to appear in court	as ordered
Prior a	ttempt(s) to evade law en	nforcement
Use of	alias(es) or false docum	ents
☐ Backgı	ound information unkno	own or unverified
Prior v	iolations of probation, p	arole, or supervised release
OTHER REASC	NS OR FURTHER EX	PLANATION:
Code, Section 15 Pretrial Services	(46). Defendant's superv Report regarding defend	audulent use of visas, permits and/or other documents (Title 18, United States ised release term expired on December 10, 2023. Based on the information in the dant's time of residence in Puerto Rico (18 months), it is more likely than not that d States he was in violation of his supervised release term.
	the affidavit in support nts to evade his arrest.	of the criminal complaint details defendant's attempts to flee from law
	P	art IV - Directions Regarding Detention
For confinement being held in customith defense conterson in charge	in a corrections facility stody pending appeal. Tunsel. On order of a co	ly of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of the defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation ourt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the lity must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an occeeding.
Date:	02/19/2025	s/Marcos F. Lónez

United States Magistrate Judge